

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/642,566	Applicant(s) STAMPS ET AL.	
	Examiner Sean P. Shechtman	Art Unit 2125	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Sean P. Shechtman.

(3) _____.

 (2) Grant Kang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006
Time: 4:00pm
Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claim objections and 112 2nd paragraph rejections
Claims discussed:
40, 47, 58
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant clarified that there is a variation in wire length due to a natural variation in bale dimension. In light of the interview, the rejection of claim 47, regarding the term "about", has been withdrawn.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)